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Room Vittorio Emanuele II (Sala Spadolini)

Agenda

9.00 – 9.15
Opening of the meeting
Adoption of the provisional agenda and communication
Approval of the minute of the JPI Governing Board Meeting held on the 8th June 2016
Antonia Pasqua Recchia
JPICH Coordinator
Antonella Recchia
Coordinator

EC communications:

- Follow up of the brainstorming meeting of 16th of September
- Follow-up of the JPI Annual Conference of 22-23 November
- Event on Cultural Heritage, Disaster Resilience and Climate Change – Brussels, 7 December 2016
- Discussion

9.15 – 9.45
The JPI CH future strategy: GPC and roadmap
European Commission (TBC)

9.45 – 10.45
- Last JPIs Chair meetings, Brussels 21 November: results and activities
- The JPICH Strategy 2018-2020 document
submitted to GPC on 10 November
- GPC meetings, Brussels 24 November: outcome and conclusions all Participants
- The Long Term Strategy - FP9 : template description, related road map and actions Discussion

10.45 – 11.00 Coffee break

The JPICH Long Term Strategy:
- Partners involvement and allocation of activities JPICH Coordinator
11.00 – 11.30 Internal road map all Participants

JPICH future planning activities:
- Alignment (update of activities)
- Future calls (countries commitment and road map for launch)
- Joint transnational actions (countries commitment, business plan-2017-2019 and implementation road map)
11.30 – 13.00 Monitoring and assessment (activities update)
- Internationalization activities (activities update)
- Infrastructure cooperation actions (activities update)
- Copernicus Programme (activities update) all Participants
Discussion

13.00 – 14.00 Lunch break

The JPICH Coordination tools:
- Heritage portal (update and sustainability) HC (Ireland)
- Secretariat (update and sustainability)
14.00 – 15.00 Discussion JPICH Coordinator all Participants

The JPICH Scientific Committee:
15.00 – 16.00 nomination and renewal of new members JPICH Coordinator
- role and involvement in future actions Discussion and Voting session all Participants
Future Communications and Dissemination activities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>JPI CH Coordination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Future meetings participation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

all Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Concluding remarks</th>
<th>Antonia Pasqua Recchia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>Concluding remarks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Governing Board Meeting

Minute

Participants:

Belgium, Royal Institute for Cultural Heritage, Hilde De Clercq; Belarus, Natallia Yankevich (videoconference). Cyprus, Research Promotion Foundation, Mattheos Spanos (videoconference). Czech Republic, Institute of theoretical and Applied Mechanics, Milos Drdacky and Hana Siskowa. France, Ministry of Culture and Communication, Pascal Lievaux; Alexandre Caussè; Ministère de l’Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche, Sophie Fermigier. Italy, Ministry for Cultural Heritage Activities and Tourism, Cristina Sabbioni, Patrizia Bianconi, Alessandra Cuscianna; Italy; Italy Ministry of education, university and research, Valeria Cardia; Lithuania, Vytautas Magnus University, Ms Jurgita Staniskyte; Kaunas University and Technology, Vaidas Petruulis; Netherlands, the Netherlands organizations for Scientific research- Humanities, Annemarie Bos; Cultural Heritage Agency, Jan Van’t Hof; Norway, The Research Council of Norway, Mari Susanne Solerod; Ministry of Climate and Environment, Tonte Hegard; Poland, Ministry of Science and Higher Education, Jan Kozlovsky; Portugal, The foundation of Science and Technology, Carlos Almeida Pereira, Romania, National Authority for Scientific Research and innovation, Monica Alexandru. Spain, Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, Cecilia Cabello, Sweden, Swedish National Heritage Board, Christina Fredengren (videoconference); United Kingdom, The Arts and Humanities Research Council, Mark Llewellyn.

European Commission: Ms Emanuela De Menna (video conference)

Minutes:

On November 30th, the Governing Board Meeting for the Joint Programming Initiative on Cultural Heritage and Global Change: a new challenge for Europe (JPICH) was held in Rome (Italy), at the Ministry of Culture Activities and Tourism, Via del Collegio Romano, 27.

Opening and welcome address:

Cristina Sabbioni (Coordination Office) welcomes all the participants justifying the absence of Ms Antonia Recchia (Coordinator) who in the last weeks was often out of the office cause of the earthquake emergency. She anticipates some changes in the agenda cause of the problems related to the necessity of some partners to fly before the end of the meeting and at the same time she informs the participants that also the Commission represented by Ms Emanuela De Menna will be present only in the afternoon cause of a not planned morning meeting.

On the base of these new exigence’s the first issue to discuss is about the JPICH future strategy.

A round table presentation follows.

The JPI CH Future Strategy within the GPC Working Group Road Map.

Cristina Sabbioni (Coordination Office) presents the JPICH Strategy 2018-2020, a document submitted to the GPC on November 11th (Doc. 3.1). In that occasion each of the 10 JPI’s presented to the GPC its strategy for the future and on the base of the documents collected the GPC will prepare an overall Report to present to the EU Competitiveness Council. The aim of this report is to
highlight the added value of all the 10 JPIs underlining not only the activities achieved in their thematic areas but also their match with the current societal challenges. This is the first time that the GPC required a specific document about the strategy to follow and not only some presentations regarding the work done by all the JPI’s. The members of the Governing Board are asked to inform their representatives in the Competitive Council about this document of strategy.

Cristina Sabbioni informs the GB Members about the last meeting with the JPI’S Chairs which was held on November 21st in Brussels. She underlines the necessity to implement the cooperation among all the JPI’s discussing about the opportunity to create a common strategy towards the European Commission, the GPC, the European Parliament and the Competitive Council. The purpose of this cooperation is to demonstrate to these institutions the different and more value that the JPIs have compare to the Eranet Projects remarking that JPI’S are a process and not a projects and at the same to give a bigger visibility to the work of the JPI’s implementing also the communication about what they did and what they will do to play an important and active role in the sector of the Cultural Heritage.

Cristina Sabbioni informs the participants about the two last GPC meetings. The first addressed only to the JPI’s Chairs was held on 22th November while the GPC meeting was held in Brussels on 24th November. In that occasion the JPIs were recommended to work very well on their Long Term Strategy (LTS) and to consider the LTS in terms of socio-economical impact and technological perspectives. Based on these inputs, the JPICH now needs to think and to propose a vision that has to be innovative, ambitious and focused on the societal challenges.

A road map was developed in order to elaborate a Long Term Strategy (LTS) for the JPICH. All the participants received a template (doc.3.4) before the meeting which is showed. A first draft section of the LTS will be sent to the Coordinator within 10th January, the LTS version 1 will be prepared by the Coordinator and it will circulate on January 30th for receiving comments by all the Partners within 15th February. The second draft of the LTS will circulate by 28th February. The final document will be delivered to the GPC on March 10th.

The work plan and roles about how to draft the template confirmed during the Executive Board meeting are presented.

With this documents will be decided the future of the framework programme at European level. The document to produce will influence the role of the JPICH in the field of the research planned at the European level.

This template was elaborated by the JPIs and the GPC. It is structured on the base of different sections which are explained. The work is complex and it will be divided among the partners in the way that each country will give its contribution implementing a specific section in cooperation with other partners. The GPC required that the document contain all these data, which are considered of particular interest for the Council of Competitiveness.

Mibact will support all the activities and will collect the contribution by the Partners.

Cristina Sabbioni underlines the strategic role that all the members have as representatives of the government of their country.

Cristina Sabbioni reminds to the participants the 2017 JPICH Parade and the activities which have been planned for the European Year of Cultural Heritage which will be managed at the beginning under Slovakia presidency.
She presents the document “Joint Communication to the EU parliament and Council towards an EU strategy for international cultural relations”, which underlines the central role of the Cultural Heritage has in diplomacy. Its programme will be structured in 3 sub programmes: one supporting cultural as an engine for sustainable social and economic development, the second promoting cultural and intercultural dialogue and the last based on reinforcing cooperation on Cultural Heritage.

This document needs to be taken into account for drafting the LTS.

Jan Vant’Hoff (RCE) asks how the JPICH group can use the GPC in order to increase the political commitment in each country and Cristina Sabbioni replies that JPICH has to proceed in a double direction. It is a very important fact that the GPC is going to promote the important and active role of the JPI’S to the Council. It never did it before, the GPC had only to justify the work of the JPIs and it was a sort of body which followed the implementation of the JPI’s but it didn’t have an active role. Now for the first time, the GPC is committed to have a political impact on the Council.

For this reason it is important now that each country forwards and disseminates the strategy 2018-2020 to their national representatives in the Council of Competitiveness. The GPC will do the same but the contribution of the singular countries will have also a major impact.

Cecilia Cabello (MINECO) suggests to extend this task of disseminating on 3 levels: GPC, the Council and also ERAC. ERAC has also a key strategic political role respect the group and she considers opportune that the ERAC representatives knew the position and the strategy of the JPICH.

Patrizia Bianconi (Coordination Office) discusses a presentation about the Sustainability of JPICH.

She focuses the attention above all on the sustainability of the costs of the JPICH Secretariat remarking that this matter was discussed more times in occasion of the last JPICH meetings but that no solution was ever found and that for this reason in 2015 and 2016 these costs were totally covered by the JPI Coordinators (MIBACT and MIUR). She reminds that at the moment the Secretariat of the JPICH Coordination Office is composed by three people and that the annual costs are about 150.000 euros/year. She continues proposing to all the partners to consider the payment of a fee of euro 5000,00 for each year to guarantee the activities and the work of the management.

Mark Llewellyn (AHRC) confirms that his institution is available to pay this fee for all the duration of the JHEP2 project (from 2017 to 2019 for a period of three years).

Annemarie Bos (NWO) agrees with the position of Mark Llewellyn to cover the costs of the JPICH Secretariat until 2019 included, but she reminds the necessity to approve the business plan and above all to specify in this document all the activities which the countries will manage and the costs which the partners will pay for these activities and the organisation and development of the future calls.

Also RCE (Netherland) supports the position of UK remarking that the fee will be paid only if each participant country will decide to sustain these costs.

Patrizia Bianconi (Coordination Office) affirms that on the base of the decisions took during the Executive Board meetings on 29th November and the information regarding the new calls the Business Plan will be integrated and completed and that a new draft of the document will circulate in the next months including also the kind contribution by each partner.
Cecilia Cabello (MINECO) agrees with the 5.000 euro contribution but she specifies that also if MINECO will cover the costs of Secretariat for three years until 2019 her institution can take a committee yearly, so in this occasion she can only gives a formal commitment year by year.

For formalising the decision related to the contribution of the Partners for the JPICH Secretary and Management Costs, a round table follows:

**Lithuania** agrees with the principle but has to ask confirm to the Ministry;  
**Czech Republic** agrees but has to receive the formal approval by the Ministry;  
**Romania** agrees but ANCSI has to find the technical and financial instrument to pay the fee;  
**Poland** agrees but they have to check with the Ministry before to give the final confirm;  
**Spain** agrees.  
**Belgium** agrees but it has to investigate about the instrument to pay;  
**Belarus** sustains that they are not EU country and that they have problem to pay the fee. They could contribute by paying some activities, but they cannot pay the fee. They propose to give their contribution in kind but Patrizia Bianconi specifies that it would be not possible to contribute in-kind and that they need to explore the alternative possibilities to pay the fee.  
**Portugal** thinks that the proposal is acceptable but it asks to receive a business plan and a specification of the costs;  
**Norway** agrees but after the approval of the final business plan;  
**UK** accepts the conditions but only if all the partners will give their economical contribution;  
**RCE (Netherland)** confirms the position and the observation of UK;  
**France** agrees to pay the fee;  
**Cyprus** agrees in principle for the three years period but they will send an official confirmation  
**Italy** agrees to pay the fee.

13 countries express a full agreement in supporting to the activities of the Coordination Office while some countries have not voted because not present (including Ireland).

**Election of the new 6 members of the Scientific Committee.**
Patrizia Bianconi (Coordination Office) summarises the role and the functioning of the Scientific Committee before to proceed to the vote. She proposes to involve this body also in dissemination activities of EYCH 2018 and relatively to the procedure of updating of the SRA.
She anticipates that the costs for the Scientific Committee need to be covered by JPICH partners. Patrizia Bianconi remembers the names and the competence area of the current 6 members confirmed and she explains the procedure, which will be followed for renewing the Scientific Committee. Each country will have only one possibilities of vote expressing two different preferences. It is opportune that a country didn’t vote for the experts of the same nationality.
The countries not physically present in the room (Cyprus, Slovakia, Ireland, Sweden, Belarus) have received the template for the election the day before by e-mail and they have sent the Coordinator their vote.
At the end of the voting session this is the final result: the new six members of the Scientific Committee are:  
**Etienne Anheim** (France): tangible and intangible;  
**Jose Delgado Rodrigues** (Portugal): tangible;
Gert Jan Burgers (Netherland): tangible;
Bogusław Szmygin (Poland): tangible
Piotr Targowsky (Poland): tangible
Rodney Harrison (UK): tangible.

The Governing Board members approve the new experts of the Scientific Committee.
The secretariat will prepare and will send a nomination letter to the new members for first and in a second time to the other 6 Scientific Committee members already confirmed. Within the next 2 weeks a full list of the new SC will be produced.
Norway asks if the old members were asked if they want to stay in the SC. Coordinator confirms that they were not informed and that anyway no letter of withdrawal was received. It is established that in case of a withdrawal will be used the reserve list.
Belgium underlines that also the not elected candidates should also be informed. The Secretariat will send a letter also to them.

**Heritage Portal sustainability**

William Cunning from Ireland explains that they are not more in the position of carrying on with the Heritage Portal. They will continue to post until the end of this year. The last post will be issued in January. William Cumming informs all the members about the necessity to take a decision about the future of the portal.

Cristina Sabbioni remembers that Monica Alexandru from Romania proposed to use the portal as hub and it would be available to develop this project but for first it is necessary now we have to find a solution for the Heritage Portal management.

Cecilia Cabello (MINECO) specifies that there are 2 issues about which to discuss: the first is a technology issue. Cecilia Cabello asks William Cunning how it will be possible to move the hardware and the software know how from the server of Ireland to the server of the country which will be the new responsible for the Portal. The second issue has an economical nature and it regards the necessary commitment to manage the Heritage Portal in term of costs and hours of work. Spain can’t be take soon a decision but it could be interested to administrate the Portal in the future.

William Cumming replies that he hasn’t the competence to answer about the technology question but he remarks that Ireland will be happy to cooperate for finding a solution.

Cristina Sabbioni (JPICH Coordination Office) proposes to Cecilia to prepare a document with all the specific questions to send Ireland and she anticipates that this document will circulate among all the partners and that the reply by Ireland will be shared with all the countries participating in the project in order to give the possibility all the partners to consider a possible interest for managing the virtual platform.

**Schedule of next meeting is discussed.**

It was agreed to held the next JPICH meeting on June 7th and 8th in Cyprus.

**JPICH future planning activities. Implementation of joint activities including joint calls (JHEP2 -WP 2 - task 2.1) – Presentation by A.M. Bos (NWO)**
She explains the process leading to the choice of the 4 topics for the future calls illustrating the roadmap provided for the period 2017-2019. She reminds the topics of the next call planned:

2017 Digital Heritage call. Handling partner: NWO
2018: Conservation & Protection. Handling partner: MINECO

The possible commitment of each partner is presented. Mark Llewellyn (AHRC) specifies that UK will finance the call on digital heritage with 900.000 euros. The consortium approves this Roadmap and the first milestone is achieved. Annemarie Bos (NWO) presents the requirements of each call and the next steps for implementing this task. UK announces the volunteer to participate in all the future call and it will give soon the final confirm.

She reassumes all the activities of WP2:
1. Heritage practice (JHEP2 task 2.2-Lithuania): presentation of the different activities to be held in 2017-2018
2. Follow up Action Programme (Task 2.3). It will develop at least 3 activities a year, each country leads at least 1 activity. Kind of activities: expert meetings, workshops, conferences.

The selection of the activities will be based on the following criteria: urgency, participation of other actors, funding. The international aspect of these activities needs to be stressed out. Moreover, it is not only a single event and it is important to have a clear view of the expected outcome and impact.

The other part of this task is focused on the organisation of a conference on Heritage Governance strategies. It was chosen to focus on physical environments. It will be held in the course of the EYCH.

3. Case studies (Task 2.4). In the following weeks a questionnaire will be sent.
4. Knowledge hub is oriented to explore the possibility of transforming the heritage portal into a knowledge hub (activity requested by the EC). Outline and first preliminary key findings are presented.

With this regards Jan Van’t Hof (RCE) informs that a knowledge hub will be developed from RCE also for another project and that for this reason his institution is ready to cooperate with Romania.

Activities on alignment (JHEP 2 WP1) – Presentation by H. De Clercq (KIKIRPA). The tasks aims at aligning national research programs and activities with JPICH. Hilde underlines the difference between Net Heritage and JHEP2. An overview of the main findings of the national survey is presented.

Mari Susanne (NO) presents activities related to the realization of a report on alignment.

Monitoring and assessment – Presentation by A. Caussé (MCC).
The WP3 regards the monitoring of the alignment, the implementation process and the assessing of the alignment process. A list of deliverables has been presented. Alexandre Caussè specifies that Del. 3.1 on the monitoring of the alignment process is already being delivered and it has as task leader Portugal, while for the deliverable 3.2 the task leader is FCT (Portugal). They are preparing in this phase the deliverable 3.2 which will be submitted in the next weeks. He also summarizes shortly what is the matter of the other deliverables underling their objectives and time of publication.

Alexandre Caussé presents Pascal Lieveaux (MCC) as a new member of the EB and GB of the JPICH.

Carlos Ameida Pereira (FCT) summarizes the activities of the deliverable 3.1 and he anticipates also the content of the other deliverables which will be produced by France, Portugal and Belgium in the next months to complete the aim of WP3.

Cristina Sabbioni introduces the presentation of Patrizia Bianconi about the Internationalization activities.

Patrizia Bianconi remarks to the members all the last meetings in which the JPICH was present to implement the activities related to the internationalization, dissemination and communication of the project:

1) Black Sea Horizon workshop in Baxu (Azerbaijan) in October: the meeting was interesting and there was the occasion to have important contacts with other partners which would like to enjoy the JPICH as Georgia, Belarus (new partner) etc;

2) JPI’S Global Stage on 21th November in Brussels. In that occasion there was the possibility to take contact above all with Brazil and Usa. Brazil would like to participate in the JPICH and probably it will become an observer in the first time. Usa would be interested too but at the moment they are logistic problems.

3) JPI’S Annual Conference in Brussels on 22th and 23th November: all the JPI’s were invited to show what was the impact (social, economical, political) of the activities done in the last year in reference to the Cultural Heritage sector.

She also anticipates the participation of the JPICH in the event organized in cooperation with the European Commission on next 7th December: Cultural Heritage, Disaster Resilience and Climate change which will provide also the participation of three projects financed in occasion of the last Heritage Plus call: PROTHEGO, HEAT and CLIMA.

She concludes reminding the GB members also the Parade event which will be held in Brussels on 20th and 21th February and that will be organised in cooperation with KIKIRPA. It is also specified that the online registration will be open around January 20th.

**European Commission communication by E. De Menna**

Emanuela De Menna updates the participants about the event on Cultural Heritage, Disaster Resilience and Climate change to be held on 7th December: the event will involve important actors in the field of Cultural Heritage and relevant bodies as UNESCO, Europa Nostra. The European Commission is pleased that JPICH will also join this event which provides also the participation of
three projects of Heritage Plus call. There will be other participating projects from Societal Challenges 5, Marie Curie and other organisms.

In the occasion of this event the European Commission will present some ideas about the future plan to build a community of stakeholders and innovators on Cultural Heritage.

On next February 21st the EC will open the event of the Projects Parade. The European Commission really welcome this event for the big interests which will have attracting also the attention and the participation of not european partners.

She continues summarizing the past activities:

On 16th September there was a brainstorming meeting. It was agreed to have this kind of activity once a year. A joint planning calendar for the activities to develop during the European Year of Cultural Heritage and joint communication activities were discussed.

On last 22nd and 23rd was held in Brussels the JPI Annual conference focused mainly on the follow up on activities.

Emanuela De Menna presents the H2020 strategic programming.

She underlines that the European Commission is not in favour of having many new EraNet or JPI and that it wants to reduce them or make a more close selection.

She also specifies what are the criteria which EC will use for selecting initiatives to support:

- justification of Union intervention: topics have to be clearly and strongly connected to the strategic orientation of WP 2018-2010;
- no duplication of intervention: no support will be given to the topics which are already covered by H2020 or other P2P and also no support will be guaranteed to topics that have no indicative commitment. The commitment by the countries has to be important and strong not only in term of contribution to the quality of the work but also in economical terms.
- Added value of the union intervention and its impact on European and national level: no support will be offered to the topics which do not demonstrate to have a real added value compared the initiatives already on going.

The WP 2018-2020 draft will be issued at the beginning of 2017 it will be finalized by the end of the year.

**Discussion:**

Cristina Sabbioni asks when the Commission will ask for the survey by the countries and what is the road map which will be used by the Commission to collect all the data required taking into account the work and the future plan of the countries.

Emanuela De Menna (European Commission) thinks that probably there will be not be a survey. The specific data and required elements will be presented as a guideline of the new Work Programme but the message which the EC wants to launch is very clear and provides a very big and solid contribution by the Partners.

Cristina Sabbioni informs Emanuela De Menna that the JPIs would like participate in an ERANET cofund in 2018 and that Italian representatives in the programme committee of SC5 will make this proposal.

EC underlines that a call on identity and perception would not fit on SC5 but more on SC6. She suggests to search a topic that fits with the objectives of the SC5 and to tru to have a strong
commitment by member states. Moreover JPICH already has an ongoing CSA and it is difficult to have a second one so this instrument will not be used.

Annemarie Bos (NWO) as task leader of JHEP2 task 2.1 stress that JPICH received already commitments from all the partners to all 4 calls. She ask the Commission in what way will be possible to obtain the attention of the EC in order to develop all the calls.

Emanuela De Menna replies that she has no specific instructions at the moment and that the European Commission surely will give more details on it in the future and in occasion of the next SC5 program committee which will be organised at the end of January.

**Activities updates on Infrastructure cooperation actions**

Cooperation with ERIC infrastructure was agreed. JPICH will appoint a group of members that will be communicated to the E-RIHS coordinator. They will constitute an Action Group for identifying issues for collaboration.

People that will participate in the action group:
- Emilio Cano (MINECO)
- Hilde De Clercq (KIKIRPA)
- Cristina Sabbioni (representing the Coordination)
- Jan Van ‘t Hof (RCE)
- Pascal Lievaux (MCC)

Cristina Sabbioni will also suggest to Luca Pezzati to nominate not only member of the GB but also the representatives that are involved and know the JPICH. Carlos Pereira (FCT) could suggest Delgado from FCT. Also Isabelle Pallot-Frossard was proposed by France.

In reference to the Copernicus Programme JPICH was asked to propose an action regarding Cultural Heritage. They need to propose a 24h service available to end-users. Italy has made a proposal to the users committee. She shows the procedure necessary to develop and manage this service.

The second option is to identify existing already existing Copernicus programs and we was asked to organise a service that could be tailored for cultural heritage.

The first option is the one that will be pursued now. All the members will keep informed because all the Countries are deeping to a specific area to reach the complete preparation of the program.

Cristina Sabbioni remarks the big opportunity to enter in this program.

She concludes confirming the days of 7-8th June 2017 in Cyprus for the next JHEP2 Steering Committee, EB meeting and JPICH GB.

Cristina Sabbioni thanks everyone and the Commission for the participation and the work done, wishing the JPICH members a good work for the coming months.

The meeting ends at 15.50.